Business Strategy and Management Insights, e-ISSN: 3107-7609
January-June 2025 | Volume:01, Issue: 01, Page No.: 55-62
https://doi.org/10.64006/bsmi/1105

ORIGINAL ARTICLE |Received:30th April. 2025 | Revised: 15th May. 2025 | Accepted: 30th May. 2025 | Published: 2nd June 2025

Examining the Influence of Organizational Work Climate on Employee Performance in India

Somi Waseem zaidi[1], Shadab Mohd Khan[2], Mohd Azeem[3], Obaidul Ola[4]

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the relationship between organizational work climate and employee performance among professionals in India. Using a quantitative research approach, primary data were collected from 96 employees through a structured Likert-scale questionnaire. The data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics (version 23), employing descriptive statistics and Pearson's correlation test. The results indicate a strong and significant positive correlation between organizational work climate and employee performance at the 0.01 level, suggesting that an improved work environment leads to higher employee productivity and engagement. The study reveals that a supportive organizational culture—characterized by open communication, motivation, teamwork, and effective leadership—plays a crucial role in enhancing employee satisfaction and performance. Additionally, the physical work environment, including workspace design and opportunities for relaxation or social interaction, significantly influences employees' cognitive and emotional well-being. The findings emphasize that employees are a company's most valuable asset, and organizations that invest in improving their work climate are likely to experience greater efficiency and commitment from their workforce. The study concludes that fosteringapositive work environment is essential for achieving sustainable organizational success.

***Keywords**: Work Climate, Employee Performance, Organizational Culture, Workplace Environment, Productivity.*

This article is published under the **Creative Commons Attribution–Non-commercial (CC BY-NC) License**. Readers are free to share,adapt, and reproduce the material for non-commercial purposes, with appropriate credit to the author(s) and the source.

INTRODUCTION

In today's highly competitive and dynamic business environment, organizational success increasingly depends on the ability to attract, motivate, and retain productive employees. A crucial determinant of employee effectiveness is the organizational working climate—an aspect that reflects employees' shared perceptions of their work environment, management practices, and interpersonal relationships. A positive organizational climate fosters engagement, satisfaction, and commitment, thereby enhancing individual and collective performance (Suliman & Al Harethi, 2013). Conversely, a poor work climate characterized by stress, ambiguity, and lack of support often leads to lower morale, absenteeism, and turnover intentions (Qureshi et al., 2013).

Previous studies across diverse contexts have consistently affirmed the significant influence of workplace environment and climate on employee performance. For instance, Bushiri (2014) demonstrated that flexibility, supervisor relationships, job aids, and performance feedback substantially improve employee productivity. Similarly, Akintayo (2012) found that a supportive working environment positively affects worker morale and perceived productivity in Nigerian industrial organizations. Moreover, Norris-Watts and Levy (2004) emphasized the mediating role of affective commitment in translating a positive feedback environment into improved work outcomes. These findings collectively underscore that the organizational climate is not merely an abstract concept but a strategic determinant of performance and employee well-being. Emerging research

[1] TGT, UP Madhyamik Shiksha Parishad, India, kulsoomzehra.kgcz@gmail.com
[2] ✉Guest Faculty, Delhi Skill and Entrepreneurship University, India, shadab.khan.gt@dseu.ac.in , Orcid id: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8529-6119
[3] Semi-professional Assistant, Department of Management Studies, Faculty of Management Studies, Jamia Millia Islamia, India, mohdazeemjmi86@gmail.com
[4] Asst. Professor, Department of Management Studies Faculty of Management Studies, Jamia Millia Islamia, India, obaidulola65@gmail.com

How to cite: Zaidi, S. W., Khan, S. M., Azeem, M., & Ola, O. (2025). Examining the influence of organizational work climate on employee performance in India. *Business Strategy and Management Insights*, *1*(1), 55–62. https://doi.org/10.64006/bsmi/1105

further highlights that the work environment interacts with stress, workload, and leadership styles to influence performance outcomes. Khuong and Yen (2016) reported that job stress negatively impacts performance, while ethical leadership and supportive work conditions enhance employee outcomes (Khan, Mahmood, & Shoaib, 2022).

In this context, understanding the climate-performance relationship is vital for organizations striving to maintain a motivated and efficient workforce. The relevance of such studies is particularly significant in developing economies like India, where rapid industrialization, technological transformation, and workforce diversification are reshaping organizational dynamics.

Despite the global attention to the role of organizational climate in performance, limited empirical evidence exists within the Indian context, especially in sectors such as public services and security organizations, where hierarchical structures and role ambiguity are prevalent. This study seeks to bridge that gap by examining the relationship between organizational working climate and employee performance in India. It explores how various dimensions of work climate—such as communication, leadership, motivation, job stress, and interpersonal relations—affect employee outcomes across different levels of management.

By doing so, the paper contributes to the growing body of literature on human resource development and organizational behavior in emerging economies. The findings are expected to provide theoretical insights and practical implications for designing effective work environments that enhance employee performance and organizational productivity.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The relationship between organizational working climate and employee performance has been a long-standing focus in organizational behavior and human resource research. Organizational climate refers to employees' collective perceptions of the policies, practices, and procedures that characterize their work environment (Suliman & Al Harethi, 2013). It encompasses multiple dimensions such as leadership style, communication quality, job structure, interpersonal relationships, and performance feedback. A positive organizational climate has been shown to enhance motivation, satisfaction, and performance, while a negative one can lead to stress, low morale, and turnover intentions (Qureshi et al., 2013; Norris-Watts & Levy, 2004).

Organizational Climate and Employee Performance

Suliman and Al Harethi (2013), in their study on public security organizations in the UAE, found that perceived work climate significantly predicts employee performance and its sub-dimensions, including task efficiency, commitment, and teamwork. Their research revealed that work climate acts as a contextual force shaping employee attitudes and behaviors toward organizational goals. Similar results were observed by Bushiri (2014) in Tanzania, who reported that flexibility in the work environment, effective supervisory relationships, and supportive feedback mechanisms lead to improved employee performance. These findings underscore that organizational climate is not an abstract construct but a tangible managerial lever influencing productivity.

Work Environment, Morale, and Job Satisfaction

Employee morale and job satisfaction are key mediators linking work climate to performance outcomes. Akintayo (2012) identified a strong positive relationship between working environment, worker morale, and perceived productivity in Nigerian industrial organizations. The study suggested that employee motivation and performance can be enhanced through conducive work environments characterized by welfare programs, training, and participative management. Similarly, Ashraf et al. (2013) highlighted the mediating role of job satisfaction in the relationship between work environment and organizational performance. Their study in Pakistan revealed that when employees perceive their work environment as supportive and rewarding, it translates into higher organizational commitment and better output.

How to cite: Zaidi, S. W., Khan, S. M., Azeem, M., & Ola, O. (2025). Examining the influence of organizational work climate on employee performance in India. *Business Strategy and Management Insights*, *1*(1), 55–62. https://doi.org/10.64006/bsmi/1105

Job Stress, Workload, and Turnover Intentions

Work climate also interacts with stressors such as workload, role ambiguity, and job demands. Khuong and Yen (2016) examined the effects of job stress on employee performance in Vietnam's industrial sector and found that work overload, role conflict, and poor working relationships increase job stress, which in turn negatively affects job performance. Similarly, Qureshi et al. (2013) demonstrated that job stress and workload are positively related to turnover intentions, while a healthy workplace environment mitigates this effect. These findings emphasize that an unsupportive or poorly managed climate can deteriorate psychological well-being, reduce motivation, and impair performance outcomes.

Leadership, Communication, and Feedback Climate

Effective leadership and communication are integral components of a positive work climate. Norris-Watts and Levy (2004) observed that the feedback environment, characterized by open communication and constructive performance feedback, enhances organizational citizenship behavior through the mediating role of affective commitment. Likewise, Sugiarto and Putra (2020) found that communication climate significantly affects employee performance at PT. Lazada Express in Indonesia, with work motivation acting as an intervening variable. These studies highlight that transparent communication and supportive leadership not only improve task performance but also strengthen emotional attachment and identification with the organization.

In the context of evolving work practices, leadership and ethical behavior have gained prominence as determinants of work climate. Khan, Mahmood, and Shoaib (2022) demonstrated that ethical leadership enhances employee outcomes through improved work environments, work-life quality, and ICT competencies, particularly within the China–Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) framework. Further revealed that extrinsic rewards play a crucial role in motivating employees in non-profit organizations, while intrinsic rewards have a relatively weaker impact. These findings suggest that contemporary organizations must design climates that integrate both ethical leadership and equitable reward systems to sustain performance.

Broader research on organizational development links work climate with innovation, employee well-being, and customer satisfaction. Vermeeren, Kuipers, and Steijn (2011) demonstrated that favorable work environments enhance both job and customer satisfaction in Dutch municipalities, indicating that a positive climate can generate external performance benefits. Hasle et al. (2012) and Drotz and Poksinska (2014) explored the effects of "lean" management practices on work environments, concluding that efficiency-oriented systems must be balanced with employee autonomy and participation to avoid adverse outcomes. These insights align with Rhodes and Scheeres (2004), who argued that developing people within organizations requires fostering identity, belongingness, and continuous learning—core attributes of a healthy organizational climate.

Research Gap

The reviewed literature establishes a strong theoretical and empirical link between organizational working climate and employee performance across diverse sectors and countries. Factors such as leadership, communication, motivation, stress management, and feedback mechanisms consistently emerge as predictors of performance outcomes. However, most studies have been conducted in Western, Middle Eastern, or African contexts, with limited empirical evidence from India. Given India's rapidly transforming organizational landscape—marked by digitalization, workforce diversity, and competitive pressures—understanding how work climate influences employee performance is both timely and necessary.

Objectives

In today's workplaces, employees' performance is deeply influenced by how they experience their work environment, how they communicate, how supported they feel, and how their leaders treat them. A healthy work climate can motivate people, while a poor one can hold them back.
To understand these everyday realities better, this study sets the following objectives that explore how different parts of the work climate affect employee performance.

- To examine how the overall organizational work climate influences employee performance in Indian organizations. This includes understanding how employees feel about communication, leadership, motivation, teamwork, and decision-making in their workplace.
- To identify which dimensions of organizational climate (such as leadership, communication, motivation, etc.) play the most important role in improving performance.
- To assess employees' perceptions of their work environment—whether they find it supportive, participative, stressful, or motivating.
- To use statistical evidence (correlation analysis) to measure the strength of the relationship between work climate and employee performance. This helps confirm whether improving the work environment genuinely boosts performance.
- To provide practical suggestions for organizations on how to improve their work climate to enhance productivity, satisfaction, and commitment.

Methodology

The methodology of this study was designed to complete the research process systematically and achieve the stated objectives. It provides the framework for data collection and analysis to examine the relationship between organizational work climate and employee performance in India. This section discusses the theoretical framework, research objectives and hypothesis, research design, data collection methods, population and sampling techniques, sources and procedures of data collection, and tools used for statistical analysis.

The theoretical framework of the study focuses on the role of organizational work climate in improving employee performance. It assumes that a positive and participative work climate—characterized by effective leadership, open communication, motivation, and goal clarity—directly influences employee performance outcomes. Thus, the study framework is conceptualized as: Organizational Work Climate → Employee Performance. The primary objective of the research is to examine the relationship between organizational work climate and employee performance, for which the following hypothesis was formulated: H1: There is a significant relationship between organizational work climate and employee performance.

The study adopts a quantitative research design using primary data. Quantitative methods were selected as they allow numerical representation and statistical validation of relationships between variables. This design enables the study to derive reliable and conclusive results that are generalizable to a larger population. The research follows a descriptive and cross-sectional approach, aiming to systematically describe the existing conditions and perceptions of employees regarding their organizational work climate and performance at a single point in time. Conclusive research design principles were followed to ensure that the findings are practically useful for decision-making and managerial implications.

Primary data were collected directly from respondents through a structured Google survey form, which contained relevant questions to assess the key constructs of the study. The survey was distributed online through social media platforms such as WhatsApp and Facebook, as well as through office communication groups. Respondents were informed about the purpose of the study and assured that their responses would remain confidential and used solely for academic purposes. No identifying information such as names or company details was collected to maintain anonymity and ethical integrity.

The scaling techniques used in the study were ordinal and Likert scaling, allowing respondents to express the intensity of their agreement or disagreement with various statements. English was used as the medium of communication throughout the questionnaire. To measure the key constructs, two standardized instruments were employed. The first tool, the Organizational Climate Questionnaire, was developed by Likert (1967). It consists of 18 items measuring six dimensions of organizational climate—leadership, motivation, communication, goal setting, decision-making, and control. The responses indicate the degree to which an organization tends toward a participative and human-oriented climate as compared to an authoritative or rigidly structured one. Likert's four management systems—exploitative-authoritative, benevolent, consultative, and participative—form the basis of scoring and interpretation.

The second instrument, also developed by Likert, was used to measure employee performance. This questionnaire includes 19 items covering four dimensions: job satisfaction, salary, work experience, and job performance. These questions were adapted from the Likert Scale Questionnaire used to assess employee performance in prior studies (e.g., Crest Fashions Pvt. Ltd.). Together, these tools provide a comprehensive understanding of both the organizational environment and individual performance outcomes.

The population of the study consists of employees working in India across different organizational sectors, including government and private institutions. The sample included respondents from top, middle, and junior management levels. A total of 96 professionals aged 18 years and above participated in the survey, selected through convenience sampling due to the accessibility of participants. The respondents primarily represented the northern region of India.

The primary source of data was the structured questionnaire administered online, and the variables considered for analysis were organizational work climate and employee performance. Additionally, demographic information such as gender and age was collected from each respondent to support the analysis and provide a clearer understanding of the sample composition.

For statistical data analysis, primary data collected from respondents were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics. The tools employed included Arithmetic Mean, Standard Deviation, and Correlation Analysis. The arithmetic mean was calculated to determine the central tendency of responses, providing insights into the overall perception of organizational climate and performance. The standard deviation was used to measure the degree of variability or dispersion in responses, indicating how consistently participants rated each dimension. Correlation analysis was applied to examine the strength and direction of the relationship between organizational work climate and employee performance. These statistical tools together provide an empirical foundation for testing the research hypothesis and understanding the linkage between the two variables.

In summary, this study employs a structured quantitative approach supported by standardized instruments and statistical techniques to explore the relationship between organizational work climate and employee performance. The research design, sampling strategy, and analytical tools together offer a comprehensive framework to assess how various dimensions of the work climate influence employee outcomes within Indian organizations.

Data analysis and Interpretation

This section presents the findings and interpretations derived from the analysis conducted using IBM SPSS v23. The discussion covers respondent profiles, descriptive statistics, and the relationship between organizational working climate and employee performance.

A total of 96 respondents participated in the study. Among them, male respondents constituted the majority (79.7%), while female respondents made up 20.3%. Most respondents (89.9%) were aged between 18 and 35 years. Regarding organizational hierarchy, 52.6% of respondents belonged to middle-level management, followed by 30.4% from lower levels and 17% from top management. Educationally, 56.6% of respondents were postgraduates, while 68.8% had 0–2 years of work experience.

Table 1: Age Distribution of Respondents

Age Group	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
18–26	41	43.6	43.6	43.6
27–35	45	47.9	47.9	91.5
36–44	4	4.3	4.3	95.7
45 and above	4	4.3	4.3	100.0

Inference: 96% of respondents belong to the 18–35 age group, while 4% each fall within the 36–44 and 45+ age categories.

Table 2: Gender Distribution of Respondents

Gender	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent

Male	72	76.6	76.6
Female	22	23.4	100.0

Inference: The sample is predominantly male (76.6%), with females representing 23.4% of respondents.

Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics summarize the key characteristics of the dataset. The study computed measures such as mean and standard deviation to evaluate the distribution of data. A low standard deviation indicates that the data points are close to the mean, whereas a high deviation indicates greater dispersion.

Table 3: Mean and Standard Deviation of Variables

Variable	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean ± SD
Organization Work Environment (OWE)	89	1.42	4.84	3.359 ± 0.657
Employee Performance (EP)	89	1.50	4.70	3.358 ± 0.628

Inference: The mean and standard deviation values indicate a stable dataset without significant outliers or high variability.

Correlation Analysis

Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to determine the strength and direction of the linear relationship between organizational work climate and employee performance. The correlation coefficient (r) ranges from -1 to +1, where values closer to +1 indicate a strong positive correlation.

Table 4: Correlation between Organization Work Climate and Employee Performance

Variables	Organization Work Climate	Employee Performance	Sig. (2-tailed)
Organization Work Climate	1	0.778**	<0.001
Employee Performance	0.778**	1	<0.001

Inference: The Pearson correlation coefficient ($r = 0.778$, $p < 0.01$) indicates a strong and statistically significant positive correlation between organizational work climate and employee performance. This confirms that an improved work climate is associated with higher levels of employee performance.

The analysis reveals that the correlation between organizational work climate and employee performance is positive and statistically significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). The Pearson correlation coefficient ($r = 0.778$) indicates a strong positive relationship between an organization's work climate and employee performance. This implies that as the quality of the organizational climate improves, employee performance also tends to rise correspondingly. In essence, a supportive, inclusive, and well-structured work environment enhances motivation, engagement, and productivity among employees.

A conducive work climate encompasses not only organizational culture but also the physical and social aspects of the workplace. Providing diverse workspaces and flexible arrangements can inspire innovative working methods and allow employees to operate in environments best suited to their individual needs and tasks. Facilities that enable employees to rest, recharge, exercise, or socialize contribute significantly to overall well-being, which in turn enhances performance outcomes.

Furthermore, the physical design and atmosphere of the workplace play a vital role in influencing communication flow and collaboration. The arrangement and openness of spaces can either facilitate or restrict interaction among employees across teams and departments. A well-designed workplace fosters cooperation, information sharing, and a sense of belonging—factors that are essential for maintaining high levels of performance and job satisfaction.

Employees are the most valuable asset of any organization, and companies that invest in nurturing their workforce through positive work environments experience higher levels of engagement and retention. Given that employees spend a major portion of their lives at work, their cognitive, emotional, and behavioral states are profoundly

shaped by their surroundings. Consequently, the organizational work climate directly impacts productivity, innovation, and overall organizational effectiveness.

In summary, the findings underscore that a well-managed organizational work climate—characterized by supportive leadership, open communication, comfortable physical environments, and opportunities for collaboration—serves as a catalyst for improved employee performance and sustainable organizational growth.

CONCLUSION

The present study examined the relationship between organizational work climate and employee performance among professionals across various sectors in India. The results of the Pearson correlation analysis revealed a strong and statistically significant positive relationship between the two variables, indicating that as the organizational work climate improves, employee performance also tends to increase. This finding underscores the crucial role of a supportive, participative, and motivating work environment in enhancing employee effectiveness. Employees working within a positive organizational climate are more likely to exhibit higher engagement, satisfaction, creativity, and productivity. A favorable work atmosphere—characterized by effective leadership, transparent communication, recognition of employee contributions, and a well-designed physical workspace—plays a vital role in improving both individual and organizational performance. Thus, the study concludes that the better the organizational climate, the higher the level of employee performance, reaffirming that human capital thrives in environments that foster trust, collaboration, and well-being.

The implications of this study are significant for organizations, human resource practitioners, and policymakers alike. For organizations, it is imperative to develop and maintain a healthy work climate that encourages open communication, participative decision-making, and recognition of employees' efforts. Management should invest in both the physical and psychological aspects of the workplace to ensure an environment conducive to innovation, engagement, and motivation. For human resource departments, the integration of climate assessment tools into performance evaluation and employee engagement strategies can help monitor employee perceptions and implement timely improvements. Additionally, organizational leaders and policymakers should design inclusive work policies that promote work-life balance, flexibility, and diversity, as such initiatives enhance employee morale and foster long-term organizational sustainability. From a research perspective, future studies could expand on these findings by including variables such as leadership style, job satisfaction, and employee well-being as mediating or moderating factors in the relationship between organizational climate and performance. Comparative studies across industries and regions would also provide valuable insights into the broader dynamics of this relationship.

In conclusion, this study highlights that a well-managed organizational work climate is a strategic asset that directly contributes to employee performance, organizational growth, and sustainable competitive advantage. Building a workplace that values people, promotes collaboration, and nurtures continuous learning and development remains fundamental for organizations aiming to achieve excellence in today's dynamic and competitive business environment.

AUTHOR DECLARATIONS

CRediT author statement / Author contributions
Somi Waseem Zaidi: Conceptualization; Data Curation; Writing – Original Draft; Visualization; Project Administration.
Shadab Mohd Khan: Methodology; Investigation; Software; Validation; Formal Analysis.
Mohd Azeem: Writing – Review & Editing; Resources.
Obaidul Ola: Conceptualization, Supervision.

Acknowledgment: The authors would like to state that no specific funding or support was received for this study.

Conflict of interest: The author(s) declare no conflicts of interest.

AI statement: No artificial intelligence tools were employed in the preparation of this work, except for Grammarly which was used exclusively to enhance grammar and language clarity.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. This journal remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published institutional affiliation.

REFERENCES

Akintayo, D. (2012). Working environment, workers' morale and perceived productivity in industrial organizations in Nigeria. *Education Research Journal, 2*(3), 87–93.

Ashraf, M. S., Bashir, M., Bilal, M. Y., Ijaz, K., & Usman, M. (2013). The impact of working environment on organization performance: A mediating role of employee's job satisfaction. *Global Management Journal for Academic & Corporate Studies, 3*(1), 131–142.

Bushiri, C. P. (2014). *The impact of working environment on employees' performance: The case of Institute of Finance Management in Dar es Salaam Region*. (Doctoral dissertation, The Open University of Tanzania). Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/33424874.pdf

Drotz, E., & Poksinska, B. (2014). Lean in healthcare from employees' perspectives. *Journal of Health Organization and Management, 28*(2), 177–195. https://doi.org/10.1108/JHOM-03-2013-0066

Hasle, P., Bojesen, A., Langaa Jensen, P., & Bramming, P. (2012). Lean and the working environment: A review of the literature. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 32*(7), 829–849. https://doi.org/10.1108/01443571211250103

Khan, M., Mahmood, A., & Shoaib, M. (2022). Role of ethical leadership in improving employee outcomes through the work environment, work-life quality and ICT skills: A setting of China-Pakistan economic corridor. *Sustainability, 14*(17), 11055. https://doi.org/10.3390/su141711055

Khuong, M. N., & Yen, V. H. (2016). Investigate the effects of job stress on employee job performance—A case study at Dong Xuyen industrial zone, Vietnam. *International Journal of Trade, Economics and Finance, 7*(2), 31–37. https://doi.org/10.18178/ijtef.2016.7.2.495

Norris-Watts, C., & Levy, P. E. (2004). The mediating role of affective commitment in the relation of the feedback environment to work outcomes. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 65*(3), 351–365. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2003.08.003

Qureshi, M. I., Iftikhar, M., Abbas, S. G., Hassan, U., Khan, K., & Zaman, K. (2013). Relationship between job stress, workload, environment and employees' turnover intentions: What we know, what should we know. *World Applied Sciences Journal, 23*(6), 764–770.

Rhodes, C., & Scheeres, H. (2004). Developing people in organizations: Working (on) identity. *Studies in Continuing Education, 26*(2), 175–193. https://doi.org/10.1080/0158037042000265923

Sugiarto, S., & Putra, I. G. S. (2020). The role of communication climate on the performance of PT. Lazada Express Bandung employees with work motivation as an intervening variable. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science (2147-4478), 9*(5), 160-165. https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v9i5.816

Suliman, A., & Al Harethi, B. (2013). Perceived work climate and employee performance in public security organizations in the UAE. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy, 7*(3), 410–424. https://doi.org/10.1108/TG-03-2013-0008

Vermeeren, B., Kuipers, B., & Steijn, B. (2011). Two faces of the satisfaction mirror: A study of work environment, job satisfaction, and customer satisfaction in Dutch municipalities. *Review of Public Personnel Administration, 31*(2), 171–189. https://doi.org/10.1177/0734371X11408569