

Psychosocial Effects of Social Isolation on Quality of Life in End-of-Life Care Settings

Weam Ahmed Mohammed Mari¹, Pooja Sharma²

ABSTRACT

Social isolation is a widespread but undervalued problem in end-of-life care centers, affecting the emotional, psychological, and general well-being of terminally ill patients. This study discusses the frequency, pouring factors, and effects of social isolation in end-of-life care atmospheres, with a concentration on its implications for patient dignity, mental health, and quality of life. Through the literature review, this research discloses important institutional, environmental, and social determinants that raise palliative patients' isolation, especially those in hospitals and long-term care facilities. From a psychological perspective, isolation can exacerbate symptoms of depression, anxiety, identity loss, and existential distress among patients facing mortality. The results emphasize the importance of social support, digital interventions, and policy changes in alleviating isolation and promoting an integrated approach to end-of-life care. Addressing these challenges with specific psychological support frameworks, including therapeutic engagement, emotional validation, and resilience-building programs, can reduce psychological distress, enhance patient well-being, and improve overall experience in end-of-life care centers.

Keywords: *End of life care centers, social isolation, end-of-life care, quality of life, psychological distress, social support, patient-centered care*

INTRODUCTION

Psychological well-being plays a pivotal role in shaping the overall quality of life for individuals in end-of-life care centers, where emotional and social needs often become as significant as physical ones. End-of-life care centers are an essential part of healthcare that focus on improving the life quality of patients with serious, life-limiting illnesses. They are designed to offer extensive support beyond medical care, attending to psychological, social, and emotional needs to ensure a dignified and comfortable end-of-life experience. Patients in these settings often face numerous physical symptoms, but the social and emotional stresses they endure—especially the experience of isolation—can be equally debilitating from a psychological standpoint. Comprehensive care models emphasize the importance of addressing these complex psychosocial challenges to support the overall well-being of both patients and their families. Despite advancements in end-of-life care, one persistent concern is social isolation, which can significantly impact patients' mental health, intensify feelings of loneliness, and diminish their sense of purpose and identity. These experiences may trigger anxiety, depression, or existential distress, making it critical to understand and address the psychological consequences of isolation in end-of-life contexts. Promoting stronger interpersonal connections, therapeutic interventions, and emotionally inclusive care approaches remains vital to enhancing quality of life and psychological resilience in these care settings.

Isolation is a multidimensional and complex phenomenon that impacts individuals in multiple dimensions of their lives, such as physical health, emotional stability, and social interactions. Social isolation specifically becomes a problem in end-of-life care centers, as preserving meaningful connections can make difference in the quality of life of a patient. Research has found that patients who face extended isolation tend to experience higher rates of stress, anxiety, and depression, which are likely to worsen their underlying medical conditions. Also, isolation can cause hopelessness and a lack of self-worth, which makes it harder for patients to find comfort and accept themselves during their final stages of life.

Quality of life is a broad concept that includes physical health, psychological well-being, social connections, and overall satisfaction of life. In end-of-life care centers, enhancing quality of life means driving not only the

¹ Student, Department of Hospital Management & Hospice Studies, JMI

² ✉ Assistant Professor, Department of Hospital Management & Hospice Studies, JMI, psharma@jmi.ac.in

physical issues that come with illness but also the emotional and social needs of patients. Social connections are important for keeping a positive view, offering emotional support, and creating a sense of belonging. When patients feel isolated, their ability to handle pain and distress weakens, resulting in dropping overall health.

Preventing Isolation in end-of-life care centers requires a comprehensive approach that integrates medical treatment with social support systems. Through establishing more personal connections between caregivers, patients, healthcare providers, and the community, the undesirable impacts of isolation can be diminished, and overall quality of life can be improved for patients under end of life care centers.

Social isolation isn't just about not being able to get around; it also comes from the stigma and misunderstandings surrounding illnesses that seem untreatable. Caregivers and acquaintances, among others, may inadvertently withdraw from an individual in end-of-life care centers because they feel scared, anxious, or unsure about how to communicate or provide support. These responses have the potential to make patients feel exhausted, and this is followed by decreased self-worth and social identity. When people feel disconnected from their communities or not being part in any meaningful interactions, their overall psychological and emotional well-being declines.

Social isolation can weigh heavily on patients in end-of-life care centers. Loneliness can worsen physical pain and suffering, as emotional distress has been associated with increased pain perception and decreased capacity coping. This isolation can also affect brain function, resulting in confusion, disorientation, and loss of mental sharpness. In the most severe cases, the feeling of social isolation and psychological distress can lead to hopelessness, such that patients feel neglected or forgotten. This emotional strain doesn't just affect the patients; it also reaches out to their families and caregivers, who may find themselves wrestling with their feelings of helplessness and emotional fatigue.

Caregivers and relatives play a central role in providing companionship and emotional support for patients under end-of-life care centers. Nonetheless, they as well can face massive social withdrawal and emotional exhaustion. The pressure of taking care of a sick relative with an incurable condition is tremendous and may cause burnout and loneliness to them. Most caregivers suspend their own social lives and self-care, dedicating all their time and energy to the needs of the patient and forgetting about their well-being. This self-imposed exclusion leads to caregiver depression, stress, and helplessness, eventually affecting their capacity to deliver the best care patients deserve. In most situations, caregivers require and need extra support and resources to assist them in dealing with the emotional and social challenges that come with their role.

Creating opportunities for meaningful social interactions, promoting community involvement, and using innovative healthcare solutions can make a huge difference in the emotional and psychological well-being of those in end-of-life care centers. One approach involves setting up social programs that assist peer support groups, volunteer companionship, and options for virtual communication for those who can't leave their homes. By using technology like video calls and social media, patients can keep in touch with loved ones and engage in conversations that bring comfort and reassurance.

In addition, healthcare organizations and policymakers need to prioritize efforts that promote all-comprehensive end of life care centers models. Educating health professionals to identify and respond to social isolation as an integral component of patient care can result in more integrated systems of support. Enabling straightforward discussions on end-of-life care centers, making death and dying conversations "mainstream," and educating the public on how to care for terminally ill patients can destigmatize end-of-life care.

Community involvement is essential in combating social isolation, for example, local community centers, religious organizations, and non-profit groups can play an essential role in providing companionship and emotional support to patients in end of life care centers. Volunteer programs that offer regular visits, phone check-ins, and emotional companionship can make a meaningful difference in reducing feelings of loneliness. Integrating pet therapy, music therapy, Yoga therapy, and other non-medical interventions can provide comfort and engagement, encouraging a sense of connection and joy for patients.

In the long run, addressing isolation in end of life care centers is not merely about improving individual well-being but about boosting a culture of dignity, compassion, and containment for those with terminally ill patients or those at the end stage of their life. By recognizing the extreme impact that isolation has on both patients and caregivers, and by implementing effective strategies to mitigate these challenges, healthcare providers and society at large can contribute to a more absolute approach to end-of-life care. Aiming end-of-life care centers patients feel valued, supported, and connected to their communities is essential for enhancing their quality of life and providing them with the dignity and respect they need and should obtain in their final days.

The present study explored the multidimensional relationship between social isolation and quality of life in end-of-life care centers, examining both the challenges and the possible solutions to closing the gaps in end-of-life support. Through a comprehensive review analysis of current research, best practices, and creative strategies, this paper is all about emphasizing why it's so important to build real social connections and make sure that no one has to face the end of life all by themselves. By putting social well-being on par with medical care, one can set the stage for a more caring and comprehensive end of life care centers system that genuinely backs up patients and their loved ones during this tough times in life.

METHODOLOGY

This study deepened into social isolation and its effects on the quality of life for people in end of life care centers, using a systematic review of the literature. Followed the PRISMA guidelines and analyzing the research studies published between 2006 and 2024 from databases like PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. The study focused on research in relation to social isolation in different care settings like hospice, hospitals, or home care, specifically looking at how it affects terminally ill patients psychologically, socially, and emotionally. keywords like "social isolation," "end of life care centers," "quality of life," and "mental health in untreatable illness" were used to navigate the different databases for including the relevant research papers. The studies that focused on pediatric end of life care centers, any papers not in English language, or those based solely on opinions were excluded. While extracting the data, the findings were organized into themes such as psychological impact, social support systems, barriers in institutions, and potential interventions. To draw deeper insights, research studies were explored through trend analysis for quantitative data and through narrative synthesis for qualitative data. The Quantitative findings were summarized descriptively while qualitative themes were analyzed through thematic coding. As the study was conducted on secondary data, it was made sure to check the ethical standards of the papers that were included. This approach helped to take a well-structured and thorough look at the diverse aspects of social isolation in end-of-life care.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Social isolation has stood out as a critical factor influencing the quality of life in end of life care centers settings. As patients with serious untreatable illnesses often face a ton of physical, emotional, and psychological struggles, the presence and absence of social connections notably impact on their overall well-being. Research studies have shown that being socially isolated not only makes psychological distress worse but also can lead to more severe physical symptoms, increase the risk of mortality, and less willingness to stick to treatment plans.

End of life care centers focuses on making life better for patients by addressing all their needs, including their social and emotional health. Despite this, many individuals in end of life care centers still feel incredibly lonely and isolated, which can take away their sense of purpose and overall happiness in life. The relationship between social isolation and end of life care centers results has caught the attention of both medical and psychological researchers, and it's something that we need to pay more attention to.

This review explored various research studies on social isolation and end of life care centers, emphasizing important findings related to mental health, patient outcomes, and possible ways to tackle this issue. By bringing together current knowledge, this research study aim is to offer insights into effective strategies that can help reduce isolation and improve quality of life for patients in these care settings. Several studies focused on the deep effect of social isolation on patients receiving end of life care centers. Holt-Lunstad (2024) defines social connection as a fundamental determinant of both mental and physical well-being. This research emphasizes how

important social connections are for our mental and physical health, in overall wellness, it influences not just states of mind but biological processes like immune response, cardiovascular function, and pain control management. Similarly, Pivodic et al. (2024) noted that socially isolated people experience poor quality of life and receive poorer care at the end of their lives. Their research indicates that patients with greater social support have greater satisfaction with their end of life care centers experience, have less pain and discomfort, and exhibit greater resilience in dealing with the emotional burden of untreatable illness.

These results are supported by Sadowska et al. (2023), whose findings showed that social isolation heightens the risk of psychiatric co-morbidity like anxiety, depression, and emotional distress. In contrast, having strong social networks have been found to minimize such psychological loads, giving patients a sense of security, camaraderie, and emotional stability. Further, supporting this view, Krikorian et al. (2012) identify that social isolation is a factor in increasing suffering at the end of life, again bringing out the importance of integrated psycho-social care. Those patients with few social connections tend to have increased existential distress, questioning the meaning of their remaining time and experiencing abandonment and loneliness. In the same vein, Boston et al. (2011) associate existential suffering with feelings of isolation and call for a combination of social and emotional support alongside end of life care centers. Their study concentrates the need to attend to existential concerns using counseling, spiritual care, and therapeutic interventions designed to worsen meaningful social interaction. These results are consistent with Sadowska et al. (2023), who indicate that social isolation raises quality of life which is a core issue in end of life care centers studies. McCaffrey et al. (2024) cite dimensions of quality of life that are important to patients, specifically concentrating on the role of preference-based measures. The research noted that patient-centered care plans that address patient preferences, such as social contact and support networks, lead to improved satisfaction and well-being.

Macharia et al. (2023) analyzed how end of life care centers measures, specifically the management of pain, help in enhancing the quality of life in patients suffering from colon cancer. Their study indicates that while treatments by doctors are important in relieving symptoms, psycho-social interventions are also important in managing the overall issues confronting the patients. In addition, McCaffrey et al. (2016) illustrate that social relationships and psychological health are two of the most highly regarded features of end-of-life care for patients. The research reaffirms that developing strong relationships and being socially engaged are essential parts of quality end of life care centers.

Aside from personal experiences, social support has been exceedingly identified to be positive to both patients and care providers. Luszczynska et al. (2013) demonstrate that social support increases emotional resilience among lung cancer patients, helping them cope with the difficulty of their diagnosis more effectively. Similarly, Götze et al. (2015) discovered that support from family lightens psychological distress in cancer patients. Their study emphasized the two-way nature of social support, pointing out that caregivers who enjoy sufficient social and emotional support are likely to be more effective and kinder in their care-giving efforts towards their patients.

Several interventions have been explored to address social isolation in end of life care centers. Keen et al. (2023) investigate strategies to reduce loneliness and anxiety in hospitalized patients, suggesting that structured interventions such as support groups, peer counseling, and therapeutic activities can worsen emotional well-being. However, Welch et al. (2023) assess digital interventions for older adults in end of life care centers, finding that technology can help alleviate feelings of isolation. Their study indicates that video calls, virtual support groups, and social media platforms can act as effective tools for maintaining social connections, particularly for patients with limited mobility.

Volunteer programs have proven to be great solutions. Gardiner & Barnes (2016) concentrate on the role of volunteer-friending services in improving emotional support and reducing loneliness. Such initiatives provide patients with companionship, reducing the sense of isolation and enhancing their overall quality of life. Ekwonye and Gerdes (2022) looked into virtual compassionate presence sessions, and they found that these sessions really help reduce feelings of loneliness in older adults. Their findings suggest that structured online

interactions, even in the absence of physical presence, can provide marked psychological benefits to end of life care centers patients.

Focus on innovative approaches such as music therapy have also been emphasised in literature. Bradt & Dileo (2010) found that music therapy alleviates feelings of isolation and worsens emotional well-being in end-of-life care patients. Music interventions have been shown to evoke positive emotions, assist social interactions, and provide comfort in palliative settings. Furthermore, environmental design plays a role in enhancing social connect. Zadeh et al. (2018) report that institutional settings often contribute to social isolation, suggesting that patient-centered environmental modifications, such as communal spaces and family-friendly policies, could improve overall well-being.

Social isolation does not only affect patients but also their caregivers. Perpiñá-Galvañ et al. (2019) assess the burden on caregivers, demonstrating that high levels of stress and social withdrawal negatively impact their quality of life. Caregivers who lack social support often experience burnout, anxiety, and depression, which in turn affects their ability to provide effective care. Even Hunt et al. (2019) focused on the difficulties marginalized populations face in accessing end of life care centers, with isolation further compounding these challenges. Their research calls for targeted interventions aimed at providing equitable access to end of life care centers services for diverse populations. Furthermore, Hanna et al. (2022) discussed loneliness in terminally ill patients from a broader perspective, identifying solutions such as caregiver support programs and policy-level interventions. Their findings suggest that integrating caregiver well-being into end of life care centers strategies is essential for maintaining high-quality care.

Addressing social isolation in end-of-life care requires a multidimensional approach that includes policy changes, institutional reforms, and community-based interventions. Studies have focused on the effectiveness of volunteer programs, peer support networks, and integrative therapies in reducing isolation. Welch et al. (2023) conducted a systematic review on digital interventions, demonstrating that virtual platforms can help older adults maintain social connections, even in restrictive care environments. Similarly, Ekwonye & Gerdes (2022) explored the impact of virtual compassionate presence sessions, which were found to alleviate loneliness among assisted-living older adults. Additionally, Boston et al. (2011) examined existential suffering in end of life care centers and stressed the importance of integrative psychological support in addressing isolation.

It's essential for healthcare providers to take a comprehensive approach when it comes to end-of-life care. Training programs that emphasize the importance of social and emotional well-being, alongside medical treatment, can help caregivers provide more compassionate and comprehensive support (Chastain et al., 2023; Bergman et al., 2023). Furthermore, policymakers must recognize the significance of social isolation as a public health concern, integrating targeted interventions into end of life care center guidelines. Expanding funding for mental health services, increasing staffing in hospice and end of life care center settings, and implementing patient-centered care models are all important steps toward mitigating isolation and enhancing the overall quality of end-of-life experiences (McCaffrey et al., 2024; Carr & Luth, 2019).

Analysis of Literature Review

The analysis of existing literature provides a comprehensive understanding of social isolation in end-of-life care centers, concentrating on its psychological, social, and institutional implications. By synthesizing findings from various studies, this section examines key themes such as the impact of isolation on mental health, the role of social support systems, and the effectiveness of interventions in mitigating loneliness. Identifying gaps in current research allows for a deeper exploration of strategies to enhance the quality of life for terminally ill patients.

Table 1: Summary of the findings of Existing Literature

| Author(s) | Year | Findings |
|-----------------------|------|--|
| McCaffrey et al. | 2024 | Identifies aspects of quality of life that are important to end of life care center patients, emphasizing the necessity for preference-based measures. |
| Pivodic et al. | 2024 | Concentrates on the role of social connection in determining end-of-life outcomes, with socially isolated individuals experiencing poorer well-being. |
| Holt-Lunstad | 2024 | Establishes social connection as a critical factor influencing both mental and physical health, with implications for end-of-life care centers. |
| Fox et al. | 2023 | Reviews social isolation and connect among young adult cancer survivors, concentrating on the impact on mental health and quality of life. |
| Macharia et al. | 2023 | Examines the role of end-of-life care centers in mitigating pain and improving quality of life for colon cancer patients. |
| Sadowska et al. | 2023 | Investigates psychiatric comorbidity in end-of-life care centers, finding that social isolation increases vulnerability to depression and distress. |
| Keen et al. | 2023 | Explores interventions to combat social isolation, anxiety, and loneliness in hospitalized patients. |
| Welch et al. | 2023 | Assesses digital interventions as a means to reduce loneliness and isolation among older adults in end of life care centers. |
| Hanna et al. | 2022 | Discusses the frequency, impact, and possible solutions for loneliness in untreatable illness. |
| Rahmatikargar et al. | 2022 | Uses social network analysis to detect social isolation in end of life care centers settings. |
| de Godoi Melo et al. | 2022 | Examines both positive and negative aspects of end of life care centers from a multidisciplinary perspective. |
| Erdoğan & Koç | 2021 | Analyzes the relationship between loneliness, death perception, and spiritual well-being in oncology patients. |
| Ekwonye & Gerdes | 2022 | Evaluated the effectiveness of virtual compassionate presence sessions in reducing loneliness among older adults. |
| Lee & Ramaswamy | 2020 | Explores the physical, psychological, social, and spiritual aspects of end-of-life care among advanced cancer patients. |
| Fang & Tanaka | 2022 | Compares person-centered end-of-life care policies in England and Japan. |
| Balducci | 2019 | Investigates the intersection of geriatric oncology, spirituality, and end of life care centers. |
| Hunt et al. | 2019 | Concentrates on challenges faced by populations in accessing end of life care centers, including isolation and discrimination. |
| Perpiñá-Galvañ et al. | 2019 | Assesses caregiver burden and its impact on quality of life in end of life care centers settings. |
| Carr & Luth | 2019 | Examines well-being at the end of life, emphasizing psychological and social factors. |
| Zadeh et al. | 2018 | Environmental design in end of life care centers settings notably impacts patients' quality of life, with institutional environments often contributing to social isolation. |
| Giammalva et al. | 2018 | End-of-life care for high-grade glioma patients requires specialized palliative strategies, including efforts to reduce social isolation and distress. |
| Cagle et al. | 2017 | Explained Psycho-social support is essential for heart failure patients in end of life care centers, as it improves both patient well-being and caregiver experiences. |
| McCaffrey et al. | 2016 | Patients in end of life care centers prioritize social connections and psychological well-being as key aspects of their quality of life. |
| Gardiner & Barnes | 2016 | Volunteer befriending services play a critical role in reducing social isolation and improving emotional support for end of life care centers patients. |
| Götze et al. | 2015 | Social support positively impacts on the quality of life for cancer patients and their families, mitigating psychological distress. |

| Author(s) | Year | Findings |
|--------------------|------|---|
| Jaiswal et al. | 2014 | End of life care centers should integrate social and emotional support alongside medical treatment to improve patient outcomes. |
| Luszczynska et al. | 2013 | Social support has a direct positive impact on lung cancer patients' quality of life and emotional resilience. |
| Krikorian et al. | 2012 | Suffering and distress at the end of life are exacerbated by social isolation, concentrating on the necessity for comprehensive psycho-social care. |
| Boston et al. | 2011 | Existential suffering in end of life care centers is strongly linked to feelings of isolation and loneliness. Addressing these issues requires a absolute approach. |
| Bradt & Dileo | 2010 | Music therapy in end-of-life care has been shown to alleviate feelings of isolation and improve emotional well-being. |
| Kennett & Payne | 2010 | End of life care centers patients' experiences of treatment indicate that social and emotional support are often neglected in favor of medical interventions. |
| Galfin et al. | 2010 | Psychological distress in end of life care centers patients and their caregivers is worsened by isolation, emphasizing the necessity for integrated family support. |
| Sand & Strang | 2006 | Existential loneliness is a notable concern for end-of-life care centers patients receiving home-based care, requiring targeted interventions. |
| Block | 2006 | Psychological issues, including depression and anxiety, are prevalent in end-of-life care and are closely associated with social isolation. |

Interpretation of Existing Literature

The existing body of literature focuses on the notable impact of social isolation on patients in end-of-life care centers, revealing its detrimental effects on their overall quality of life. Social isolation has been linked to increased depression, anxiety, and a diminished sense of dignity, concentrating on the urgent need for interventions that enhance meaningful connections. Studies emphasize that institutional settings, particularly hospitals and hospices, often fail to address the social needs of terminally ill patients, prioritizing medical management over absolute care. The role of healthcare providers, caregivers, and family members is crucial in mitigating isolation, yet systemic challenges such as staffing shortages and restricted visitation policies create barriers to effective support.

Furthermore, research concentrates on the influence of environmental factors on social connect, with home-based end of life care centers showing better outcomes in reducing loneliness compared to institutional settings. The integration of digital and community-based interventions, including virtual companionship and volunteer support, has demonstrated potential in alleviating isolation, yet their implementation remains inconsistent across healthcare systems. While existing interventions have shown promise, gaps persist in standardizing these approaches and integrating them into comprehensive end of life care centers models. Literature collectively calls for a shift towards a patient-centered approach that prioritizes social and emotional well-being alongside clinical treatment, necessitating policy reforms and innovative care strategies to enhance end-of-life experiences.

Various intrinsic and extrinsic factors contribute to social isolation among these patients. Institutional barriers, such as strict hospital policies, lack of social integration programs, and under-staffing, limit patient interactions with caregivers and loved ones. Additionally, physical deterioration and declining mobility restrict patients from engaging in social activities, further exacerbating isolation. Personal factors such as fear of being a burden, loss of autonomy, and stigma surrounding terminal illness also deter patients from seeking social connections. Environmental factors, including differences between home-based and institutionalized care, play a crucial role in determining the level of social support a patient receives. Understanding these contributors is essential for developing targeted interventions to reduce isolation.

Family members, caregivers, and healthcare providers play an important role in alleviating social isolation for patients in end-of-life care centers. Studies emphasize the importance of meaningful communication, compassionate care, and emotional presence in reducing feelings of loneliness and abandonment. However,

caregivers often face their own challenges, including emotional burnout, time constraints, and inadequate support systems, which can hinder their ability to provide consistent social engagement. Healthcare professionals, particularly end of life care centers teams, must be trained to recognize and address the social needs of patients while balancing medical care responsibilities. Encouraging a multidisciplinary approach that integrates psycho-social support into end-of-life care centers can notably improve patient outcomes.

At the end, the advancement of digital technologies has introduced new opportunities to combat social isolation in end-of-life care centers. Virtual support groups, video communication tools, and online counseling services have provided socially isolated patients with an avenue to connect with family, friends, and support networks. Community-based initiatives, including hospice volunteer programs and peer support networks, have also shown potential in enhancing meaningful connections. Despite these promising developments, accessibility challenges such as technological literacy, internet availability, and patient preferences must be considered when implementing digital interventions. Evaluating the effectiveness of these strategies will help determine the most feasible and impactful approaches for enhancing social engagement in end-of-life care center settings.

CONCLUSION

Social isolation in end-of-life care is a complex and multidimensional challenge with deep implications for patient well-being. While medical interventions remain a critical component of end-of-life care centers, the psychological and social dimensions of dying must not be neglected. As this review has demonstrated, social isolation stems from a combination of individual, institutional, and societal factors, all of which contribute to heightened emotional distress and diminished quality of life for terminally ill patients. Finally, by prioritizing interventions that foster meaningful social connections through volunteer programs, psycho-social support, technology, and policy changes healthcare providers can mitigate the adverse effects of isolation. At the end of the day, it's important that patients receive compassionate care that values their dignity, and emotional well-being that's not only a medical imperative but a fundamental ethical responsibility. Future research and policy initiatives must continue to address this issue, recognizing that the way society supports its dying members is a reflection of its collective values and humanity.

REFERENCE

- Balducci, L. (2019). Geriatric oncology, spirituality, and palliative care. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 57(1), 171–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2018.09.008>
- Bergman, T. D., van der Plas, A. G., Pasman, H. R. W., & Onwuteaka-Philipsen, B. D. (2023). Awareness and actual knowledge of palliative care among older people: A Dutch national survey. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 66(3), 193–202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2023.07.001>
- Block, S. D. (2006). Psychological issues in end-of-life care. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, 9(3), 751–772. <https://doi.org/10.1089/jpm.2006.9.751>
- Boston, P., Bruce, A., & Schreiber, R. (2011). Existential suffering in the palliative care setting: An integrated literature review. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 41(3), 604–618. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2010.06.003>
- Bradt, J., & Dileo, C. (2010). Music therapy for end-of-life care. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2010(1), CD007169. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD007169.pub2>
- Carr, D., & Luth, E. A. (2019). Well-being at the end of life. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 45, 515–534. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-073117-041236>
- Cagle, J. G., Bunting, M., Kelemen, A., Lee, J., Terry, D., & Harris, R. (2017). Psychosocial needs and interventions for heart failure patients and families receiving palliative care support: A systematic review. *Heart Failure Reviews*, 22, 565–580. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10741-017-9628-2>

- de Godoi Melo, F. P., de Oliveira Peripolli, M., de Souza Júnior, N. W. B., Zani, A. V., & Probst, V. S. (2022). Positive and negative aspects of palliative care in perinatology and neonatology from the perspective of a multi-professional team. *Research, Society and Development*, 11(5), e14111528010. <https://doi.org/10.33448/rsd-v11i5.28010>
 - Durkin, I., Kearney, M., & O'siorain, L. (2003). Psychiatric disorder in a palliative care unit. *Palliative Medicine*, 17(2), 212–218. <https://doi.org/10.1191/0269216303pm722oa>
 - Erdoğan, T. K., & Koç, Z. (2021). Loneliness, death perception, and spiritual well-being in adult oncology patients. *Cancer Nursing*, 44(6), E503–E512. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NCC.0000000000000917>
- Of course! Here's the continuation of your reference list, formatted in **APA 7th edition with DOIs**, from entry 11 through 38—all in **plain text**:
- Ekwonye, A. U., & Gerdes, S. (2022). Exploring the effectiveness of virtual compassionate presence sessions in reducing loneliness and isolation among assisted-living older adults: A pilot study. *Journal of Religion, Spirituality & Aging*, 34(2), 174–193. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15528030.2022.2056098>
 - Fang, C., & Tanaka, M. (2022). An exploration of person-centred approach in end-of-life care policies in England and Japan. *BMC Palliative Care*, 21(1), 68. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12904-022-00966-x>
 - Fox, R. S., Armstrong, G. E., Gaumond, J. S., Vigoureux, T. F., Miller, C. H., Sanford, S. D., ... & Oswald, L. B. (2023). Social isolation and social connectedness among young adult cancer survivors: A systematic review. *Cancer*, 129(19), 2946–2965. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.34810>
 - Galfin, J. M., Watkins, E. R., & Harlow, T. (2010). Psychological distress and rumination in palliative care patients and their caregivers. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, 13(11), 1345–1348. <https://doi.org/10.1089/jpm.2010.0155>
 - Gardiner, C., & Barnes, S. (2016). The impact of volunteer befriending services for older people at the end of life: Mechanisms supporting wellbeing. *Progress in Palliative Care*, 24(3), 159–164. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09699260.2015.1127207>
 - Giammalva, G. R., Iacopino, D. G., Azzarello, G., Gaggiotti, C., Graziano, F., Gulì, C., ... & Maugeri, R. (2018). End-of-life care in high-grade glioma patients: The palliative and supportive perspective. *Brain Sciences*, 8(7), 125. <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci8070125>
 - Götze, H., Ernst, J., Brähler, E., Romer, G., & von Klitzing, K. (2015). Predictors of quality of life of cancer patients, their children, and partners. *Psycho-Oncology*, 24(7), 787–795. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.3734>
 - Götze, H., Brähler, E., Gansera, L., Polze, N., & Köhler, N. (2014). Psychological distress and quality of life of palliative cancer patients and their caring relatives during home care. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 22, 2775–2782. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-014-2223-6>
 - Hanna, J. R., McConnell, T., Harrison, C., Patynowska, K. A., Finucane, A. M., Hudson, B., ... & Reid, J. (2022). ‘There’s something about admitting that you are lonely’—prevalence, impact and solutions to loneliness in terminal illness: An explanatory sequential multi-methods study. *Palliative Medicine*, 36(10), 1483–1492. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02692163221112997>
 - Holt-Lunstad, J. (2024). Social connection as a critical factor for mental and physical health: Evidence, trends, challenges, and future implications. *World Psychiatry*, 23(3), 312–332. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.21189>
 - Hunt, J., Bristowe, K., Chidyamatare, S., & Harding, R. (2019). ‘So isolation comes in, discrimination and you find many people dying quietly without any family support’: Accessing palliative care for key populations—an in-depth qualitative study. *Palliative Medicine*, 33(6), 685–692. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0269216319826682>

- Jaiswal, R., Alici, Y., & Breitbart, W. (2014). A comprehensive review of palliative care in patients with cancer. *International Review of Psychiatry*, 26(1), 87–101. <https://doi.org/10.3109/09540261.2013.865956>
- Keen, A., Deike, L., & Haan, J. (2023). Combatting social isolation, anxiety, and loneliness in hospitalized patients: A quasi-experimental study. *JONA: The Journal of Nursing Administration*, 53(7/8), 385–391. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NNA.0000000000001320>
- Kennett, C., & Payne, M. (2010). Palliative care patients' experiences of healthcare treatment. *International Journal of Social Welfare*, 19(3), 262–271. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2397.2009.00667.x>
- Krikorian, A., Limonero, J. T., & Maté, J. (2012). Suffering and distress at the end-of-life. *Psycho-Oncology*, 21(8), 799–808. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.1996>
- Lee, G. L., & Ramaswamy, A. (2020). Physical, psychological, social, and spiritual aspects of end-of-life trajectory among patients with advanced cancer: A phenomenological inquiry. *Death Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07481187.2020.1749221>
- Luszczynska, A., Pawlowska, I., Cieslak, R., Knoll, N., & Scholz, U. (2013). Social support and quality of life among lung cancer patients: A systematic review. *Psycho-Oncology*, 22(10), 2160–2168. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.3218>
- Macharia, J. M., Raposa, B. L., Sipos, D., Melczer, C., Toth, Z., & Kaposztas, Z. (2023). The impact of palliative care on mitigating pain and its associated effects in determining quality of life among colon cancer outpatients. *Healthcare*, 11(22), 2954. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11222954>
- McCaffrey, N., Ratcliffe, J., Currow, D., Engel, L., & Hutchinson, C. (2024). What aspects of quality of life are important from palliative care patients' perspectives? A framework analysis to inform preference-based measures for palliative and end-of-life settings. *The Patient-Patient-Centered Outcomes Research*, 17(1), 39–52. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40271-023-00610-w>
- McCaffrey, N., Bradley, S., Ratcliffe, J., & Currow, D. C. (2016). What aspects of quality of life are important from palliative care patients' perspectives? A systematic review of qualitative research. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 52(2), 318–328. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2016.02.012>
- Pivodic, L., Van den Block, L., & Pivodic, F. (2024). Social connection and end-of-life outcomes among older people in 19 countries: A population-based longitudinal study. *The Lancet Healthy Longevity*, 5(4), e264–e275. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-7568\(24\)00030-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-7568(24)00030-6)
- Perpiñá-Galvañ, J., Orts-Beneito, N., Fernández-Alcántara, M., García-Sanjuán, S., García-Caro, M. P., & Cabañero-Martínez, M. J. (2019). Level of burden and health-related quality of life in caregivers of palliative care patients. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(23), 4806. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16234806>
- Rahmatikargar, B., Zadeh, P. M., & Kobti, Z. (2022, May). Social isolation detection in palliative care using social network analysis. In *2022 22nd IEEE International Symposium on Cluster, Cloud and Internet Computing (CCGrid)* (pp. 905–912). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CCGrid54584.2022.00113>
- Sadowska, K., Fong, T., Horning, D. R., McAteer, S., Ekwebelem, M. I., Demetres, M., ... & Shalev, D. (2023). Psychiatric comorbidities and outcomes in palliative and end-of-life care: A systematic review. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 66(1), e129–e151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2023.05.006>
- Sand, L., & Strang, P. (2006). Existential loneliness in a palliative home care setting. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, 9(6), 1376–1387. <https://doi.org/10.1089/jpm.2006.9.1376>
- Thekkumpurath, P., Venkateswaran, C., Kumar, M., Newsham, A., & Bennett, M. I. (2009). Screening for psychological distress in palliative care: Performance of touch screen questionnaires compared with

semistructured psychiatric interview. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 38(4), 597–605. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2009.02.003>

Welch, V., Ghogomu, E. T., Barbeau, V. I., Dowling, S., Doyle, R., Beveridge, E., ... & Mikton, C. (2023). Digital interventions to reduce social isolation and loneliness in older adults: An evidence and gap map. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, 19(4), e1369. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cl2.1369>

Zadeh, R. S., Eshelman, P., Setla, J., Kennedy, L., Hon, E., & Basara, A. (2018). Environmental design for end-of-life care: An integrative review on improving the quality of life and managing symptoms for patients in institutional settings. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 55(3), 1018–1034. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2017.08.020>

.

.