

Implementation and Challenges of New Education Policy 2020: The Context of Cooperative Federalism in India

Vipin Patel ¹

ABSTRACT

Since the colonial period, the relationship between education and federalism has evolved. In 1917, the Sadler Commission declared education a state subject, assigning significant coordinating responsibilities to the central government. After India gained independence, the constitution-makers continued to delegate authority over education to state governments. However, the 42nd Amendment, in a substantial departure from the fundamental constitutional separation of powers, transferred education to the Concurrent List. This move made education a "joint duty," with the Union and the states becoming "equal partners." Nevertheless, the enactment of the National Policy on Education (NPE) in 1986 continued the trend toward centralization in education. Under the leadership of Prime Minister Narendra Modi, the Union Cabinet adopted the new National Education Policy (NEP) on July 30th, 2020, paving the way for wide-ranging changes in the educational sector across the country. The 34-year-old National Policy on Education (NPE) was replaced, aiming to make the present education system comprehensive, adaptable, and interdisciplinary for future generations. However, introducing the new education policy and related programs in the states is a concern, as it has only been initiated in a handful of states. In most of these states, the ruling party's government is at the center. The central problem addressed in this research article is the implementation of NEP 2020 in the Indian federal state and its impact on India's cooperative federalism. These are some of the main points raised by experts and educationists who oppose NEP 2020. They argue that a nationalized education policy will not work in a diverse country like India. States ruled by opposition parties face many hurdles and opponents in implementing the new education policy. In such a situation, the diplomatic skills of the central government can prove decisive in pursuing this policy, which aims to decentralize the authority of states to make rules on education and promote cooperative federalism."

Keywords: Education, NEP, Cooperative Federalism, Implementation

INTRODUCTION

The National Education Policy (NEP 2020) is a government of India policy promoting education among the Indian people. The NEP, which India's Union Cabinet adopted on July 29, 2020, lays out the vision for the country's future educational system. This is the first and most comprehensive policy of the 21st century for the education sector. In 1986, a policy document with multiple objectives was put forward for the education world for the last time. There is no doubt that the education sector in India is going through a crisis at the moment. Therefore, the challenge before the new education policy is to overcome these problems. In 1968, Mrs Indira Gandhi's government adopted the first education policy. While Rajiv Gandhi's government created the second education strategy in 1986, with minor revisions made by the Narasimha Rao government in 1992. The National Education Policy 2020 is independent of India's third education policy. Therefore, a 34-year-old education policy is presently underway, becoming ineffective with the changing scenario. capabilities will be ensured. At the same time, making education accessible to all will move us towards building capabilities. The new education policy will

¹ ✉ Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of Political Science, Indira Gandhi National Open University Delhi, India, 110068, Email id- du.vipinpatel@gmail.com

bring about a radical change in the education scenario in the country and will make education in India inclusive, affordable, accessible, quality, and just. The new education policy for 2020 specifies that the educational plan must be set by 2030, which calls for more cooperation between the federal and state governments on education issues. Through the new education policy, the development of every student. On the first anniversary of the NEP 2020, Prime Minister Narendra Modi said, "We are entering the 75th year of independence. In a way, implementing the new education policy has become a significant event related to this occasion. This policy will play an essential role in making a new India and preparing the youth for the future. The first year after introducing the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 on July 29, 2020, has been a mixed bag. Following the NEP's suggestion, the former Ministry of Human Resource Development (MHRD) was renamed the Ministry of Education (MOE).

States are responsible for overseeing the implementation of NEP, which is a federal mandate. Therefore, the implementation of the NEP should be viewed as an opportunity for competitive federalism by state governments. That is why they must grasp the initiative and put its provisions into action in full force and effect. Certain states have expanded their efforts. For example, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Himachal Pradesh, and Uttar Pradesh state governments have taken the lead in implementing the NEP 2020. However, some states oppose the strategy because it is anti-federal and weakens the function of conditions. It is an attempt to centralize education and limit state authority. This new education policy lacks the flexibility to reach the most significant number of students in a country as varied as ours in terms of linguistic disparities. Rather than that, it will undermine the constitutionally mandated federal system. In this paper, we focus on the impact that the precise nature of Indian federalism has had on shaping the national education policy 2020 and its expansion. In the next part, we'll discuss the relationship between national education policy 2020 and the vision of cooperative federalism. This section looks at the NEP's implications and challenges for the Indian cooperative federal system and the implementation challenges of the new education policy. The last section of the paper gives a quick summary of the article and a few conclusions.

Principal of New Education Policy 2020

1. Recognize, discover, and nurture each student's unique skills by sensitizing teachers and parents to the importance of each student's holistic development in academic and non-academic areas.
2. Flexibility: learners may pick their learning pathways and programs, hence their life paths, based on their abilities and interests.
3. multidisciplinary and a holistic education, including the sciences, social sciences, arts, humanities, and sports, in order to maintain the unity and integrity of all knowledge;
4. promoting multilingualism and language power in teaching and learning
5. Education decisions should be based on equity and inclusion so that all students can succeed in the education system.
6. Teachers and professors are at the core of the educational process; thus, it is crucial that they are hired, trained, and supported in a healthy work environment.
7. Indian pride is a deep sense of belonging to the country's vast and diversified cultural and intellectual systems and traditions.
8. Significant investment in a robust, dynamic public education system and promotion and facilitation of truly philanthropic private and community engagement.

9. Instead of relying on summative assessments to promote the current "coaching culture," emphasis on regular formative assessments that help students learn.
10. enhancing accessibility for Divyang students and eliminating language obstacles via substantial technology use in school

The Federal System of India

Federalism refers to the constitutionally enshrined system of governance in which authority is divided between two or more levels of government, typically the national and the provincial, state, or local. A defining characteristic of a federal structure is the autonomy each tier enjoys within its designated jurisdiction, allowing both national and subnational governments to function independently and make decisions relevant to their spheres of influence.

The United States (US), Canada, Switzerland, Australia, and India are some of the essential federal polities globally, and they are all very different from each other. Daniel Elazar argued that Federalism is more than a set of governmental institutions; it is a form of political action that necessitates the establishment of particular types of cooperative relations across whatever political system it activates. The Indian federal system does not have all of the characteristics of a traditional federation. For example, the term "federal" is not used in the Indian Constitution. However, the Constitution establishes a government framework that is fundamentally federal in design. India is neither entirely federal nor purely unitary but a mixture of the two. It is a unique sort of union or mixed state. Some believe that India has a "quasi-federal" structure since the states have little sovereignty under the Constitution. The Indian Constitution establishes independent administrations at the national and state levels, with separate legislative, executive, and judicial branches of government. It also outlines the legislative, administrative, and financial relationships between the federal government and the states and their respective domains, authorities, and functions. India has three different lists: List I is known as the Union List, List II is known as the State List, and List III is known as the Concurrent List. However, Cooperative federalism is a kind of federalism in which the center and the states try to solve their problems with the cooperation of each other by establishing horizontal relations. This concept of cooperative federalism makes it clear that no one is superior to the center and the state. In the Indian constitutional framework, the Union and State governments are conceived not as hierarchical entities, but as organically connected institutions functioning on principles of cooperative federalism. States are not subordinate extensions of the federal authority; rather, they are co-equal partners within a coordinated federal architecture. The nature of Union-State relations is anchored in collaboration, with governance designed to foster mutual respect and joint decision-making. Nonetheless, this cooperative model operates within a structure where the Union holds constitutionally superior legislative powers. While states exercise executive authority under the ambit of Union legislation, they are constitutionally obliged not to impede the Union's execution of its powers within state territories. Accordingly, the legislative competence of State Assemblies over subjects enumerated in the State List remains subject to the overriding legislative authority of Parliament, particularly regarding entries in the Union and Concurrent Lists. The interpretation of State List provisions must therefore align with this constitutional hierarchy. Furthermore, Article 246 of the Constitution empowers Parliament to legislate on any subject for any region of India that does not fall within a state's jurisdiction, irrespective of the subject's presence in the State List thus reinforcing the primacy of national legislation in areas beyond state boundaries. Taking into account the Union government's supreme powers, the Indian federation has frequently been described as a "quasi-federation," a "semi-federation," a "pragmatic federation," or a "federation with strong unitary characteristics."

The Emergence of Education Federalism in India

Since colonial times, India's education policy has been influenced by the politics of federalism, when the Sadler Commission established education as a state subject while granting the central government significant coordinating powers in 1917. After India gained independence, the constitution's makers maintained to allocate education to state governments while authorizing the Union government with essential obligations to promote educational equity and excellence. Entry 11 of List II of the Seventh Schedule to the Constitution provides that education, including universities, should be a state subject, subject to List I Entries 63, 64, 65, and 66 and List III Entry 25. Thus, education was primarily a state subject; simultaneously, the Constitution vested the union government with increased educational obligations. The Union List entries 62, 63, 64, 65, and 66 of the Seventh Schedule vest the Union government with exclusive jurisdiction over the specified areas: certain museums of national significance; certain central universities in India, such as the University of Delhi and other universities and institutions of technical education declared to be of national importance by Parliament or agencies that establish standards for institutions of higher education and science research (Tilak, 2017). However, other laws, and constitutional provisions, such as the Directive principle of state policy Article 45 of the Indian constitution, which provides free and compulsory education to children under 14, also vest in the Union. Thus, the Union's educational obligations are not new. They have been present since the beginning.

The responsibilities of the federal and state governments in various fields and subjects, including education, have been drastically changed due to the 1976 adoption of the 42nd Amendment to the Constitution. In some circles, the change is seen as significantly different from the law's original intent to preserve education. As a result, India's whole education system is now under the control of the Concurrent List. The Union Government's inclusion of education on the Concurrent List made it a long-term partner of the States in education development. At the same time, the Constitution obliges both levels of government to guarantee that the constitutional obligations are upheld, including the Concurrent Lists of the Union and the Seventh Schedule. Apart from policymaking, planning, and regulation, there are other ways in which the union government intervenes, specifically in education. As a result, the union's power has increased, and their control over the subject list of the state is against the spirit of cooperative federalism. The Union government established dominance in education subject via the centrally sponsored sector, central schools, university, and institutions which includes central universities, central schools, NCERT and training, regional colleges of education, and other central institutions² such as the CBSE, the National Institute of Open Schooling, the University Grants Commission³ (UGC), ICSSR, ICHR. The union government is responsible for financing, planning, implementing, and overseeing all aspects of these institutions and programs. The centralization of the Union government increased in Indian federalism with the 1986 National Policy of Education (NPE) adoption. However, with the Right to Education Act⁴ (RTE) 2009, the Centre gained more decision-making authority in education, with the Union guaranteeing free education to children aged 6 to 14.

¹ The CBSE, Central schools, the National Institute of Open Schooling, the University Grants Commission (UGC), ICSSR, ICHR. all are central government institutions.

² The University Grants Commission of India (UGC India) is a statutory organization established by the Department of Higher Education, Ministry of Education, Government of India under the UGC Act 1956 to coordinate, determine, and maintain higher education standards.

⁴ The Constitution was amended in 2002 to declare primary education a fundamental right (Article 21A). The national Parliament approved the Right to Free and Compulsory Education Act, which covers primary education, in 2009.

National Education Policy 2020 and the vision of Cooperative Federalism

Education reform is always a top priority for any government the globe over. Education policies are under pressure to demonstrate their impact on economic and social growth on a global scale. In this regard, the Indian government has developed a new education policy (2020). The question arises: Will the national education policy 2020 be different from current educational trends? As many observers claim, will it significantly tip the balance in favour of the Union government? While it is still too early to think about how many roles and responsibilities each primary constituent entity or stakeholder will have to help the NEP 2020 goals be met, many apparent characteristics allow for some basic assumptions. The Union government serves as the seat anchor in drafting and shaping the critical contours of the NEP. There should be no mistaking the Union's dominance over the roll-out processes. These were the tendencies in past policies, beginning with the 1986 NPE, and they will continue in the NEP 2020.

Since the same political party is in power at the Centre and in many states, there's a chance that the implementation of NEP 2020 might become one-sided in certain areas—especially when it comes to rules and regulations. The policy recommends combining major regulatory bodies like the UGC, AICTE, and NCTE into one powerful organization called the Higher Education Commission of India (HECI). This new body would look after important matters such as rules, money, approvals, and recognition of courses. Even though HECI would have separate divisions for each of these roles, it would still be a very strong central agency—unlike anything seen before in other large democratic countries.

Similarly, other ideas under NEP 2020 aim to centralize education even further. For example, the National Testing Agency has been set up to conduct one common exam for college admissions across the country. But looking at the problems faced during the rollout of NEET in 2016 for medical admissions, it's clear that having one exam system for such a large and diverse country isn't easy.

Education is listed under the Concurrent List in the Indian Constitution, which means both the Centre and states share responsibilities. So, states must be treated as equal partners while making important decisions about education. However, the way NEP 2020 is being planned and rolled out sometimes seems to push the states to the side, rather than include them as full partners. States should be involved in every step—from making laws for public institutions, running education programs, helping regulate and manage them, and most importantly, helping pay for them.

That's why, to make NEP 2020 a real success, all major decisions must be taken together by the Centre and the states, through discussion and agreement—keeping both sides equally involved. However, NEP 2020 ideas suggest the opposite of cooperative federalism. As part of the Concurrent list, education will necessitate states being crucial participants at every point, from establishing framework law for public institutions to rolling out actual policies, maintaining active partners in regulation and governance, and, most significantly, funding the program. Therefore, all critical decisions concerning the implementation of the NEP must be collaborative and consultative, including both the Centre and the states.

Implementation Challenges of New Education Policy 2020

More than one and a half years have passed since the new education policy came into force in India. Despite the challenges posed by the global health crisis during this period, the NEP has reached some critical milestones. From the start, the government did a great job of getting people interested and making them aware of the new education policy's goals and objectives among people in the education world. However, Is the situation on track since implementing the new education policy? What are the

significant challenges facing this comprehensive education policy in the coming decades? NEP 2020 has gained momentum for some time, but the way to its complete implementation is full of barriers.

Implementing a new initiative in a country as vast and diverse as India is akin to scaling a formidable mountain. Consider the sheer magnitude of India's school education system, which stands as the second-largest globally. The country hosts over 15 lakh schools, accommodating more than 25 crore students and staffed by approximately 89 lakh teachers. The higher education sector is equally expansive, with the AISHE report indicating that about 3.74 crore students are enrolled in higher education institutions. This includes nearly 1,000 universities, 39,931 colleges, and 10,725 standalone institutions. Undertaking the implementation of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 across such an extensive and multilayered system necessitates coordination across various governance levels—state, district, tehsil, and block. The diversity of these regions further complicates the creation of a unified framework of responsibilities and ownership, particularly when engaging with the private sector. Undoubtedly, this represents a considerable administrative and political challenge.

The success of NEP 2020 is intimately tied to the collaborative dynamics between the Centre and the states, underscoring the centrality of cooperative federalism. While the draft of the NEP has been prepared by the central government, its execution critically depends on active engagement from the states. Given that state governments are primarily responsible for delivering most educational services, the Centre must proceed with care, adhering to principles of cooperative federalism and decentralization as it rolls out various NEP-related initiatives. Although the policy has been initiated in a few states, widespread adoption remains limited. Most of the early implementers, such as Karnataka, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, and Himachal Pradesh, are governed by the ruling party at the Centre. Unfortunately, recent developments have strained Centre-State relations, as several opposition-ruled states have voiced strong objections to specific provisions of NEP 2020 and its implementation. Troubling signals have emerged, notably from West Bengal and Tamil Nadu, where the latter has opted not to implement NEP, raising concerns about similar responses from other states under opposition leadership. Thus, restoring trust and aligning data frameworks between the Centre and the states is crucial for translating the NEP into action on the ground.

Furthermore, realizing the goals and programs outlined in NEP 2020 demands sustained and substantial financial investment. The policy itself acknowledges that public expenditure on education must reach 6% of GDP to meet its ambitious objectives. Yet, this target remains elusive, despite being set decades ago in the 1968 National Education Policy. Since then, government spending on education has consistently hovered below 3% of GDP, leaving states grappling with resource constraints in their pursuit of quality education. Ironically, in 2020, the very year NEP was introduced, the Union Budget saw a reduction in education sector allocations. This fiscal paradox deepens the challenge, highlighting the urgent need for robust financial planning and long-term commitment if NEP 2020 is to truly reshape India's educational landscape. However, this year, due to the COVID-19 epidemic, the government's priorities have changed. Therefore, NEP 2020 is "very inappropriate" in a country characterized by enormous variety and regional inequalities. For example, in education development, you cannot have a single system working for a state with a highly developed educational system like Tamil Nadu and a state with a somewhat underdeveloped educational system like Bihar. "The federating states must be entirely autonomous in their decision-making. Indian federalism is distinct and complicated, with substantial consequences for the development of the education sector by the federal government and state governments. However, new institutional methods are being implemented to improve the relationship between the union and the states and their different responsibilities in the nation's growth

(Tilak, 2017). Thus, the success of the NEP is mainly dependent on cooperative federalism. For this, the states will have to lead the reform process.

CONCLUSION

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 is, by all accounts, a transformative blueprint for India's educational landscape. Beyond its progressive stance on curriculum and pedagogy, the policy also seeks to address long-standing structural inequalities that have hindered access, equity, and quality. With a vision attuned to the demands of the 21st century, NEP 2020 strives to create an inclusive, comprehensive, and future-ready education system, one that equips learners not only with foundational skills but also with competencies tailored for a rapidly evolving global context.

This endeavour, however, is not without its complexities. India is poised to capitalize on its demographic advantage and tap into the vast potential of a knowledge-driven economy. Realizing this aspiration necessitates the effective and timely implementation of the NEP across all levels and sectors of education. The policy's success hinges on its ability to respond to entrenched challenges—ranging from infrastructural gaps and teacher training to digital literacy and curricular modernization.

Despite the disruptions caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, the central government has acknowledged the urgency and transformative potential of NEP 2020. It has, commendably, undertaken a series of immediate measures to initiate its rollout. These steps signal a commitment to embedding educational reform within India's broader developmental agenda one that recognizes education as the cornerstone of national progress. However, NEP still has a long way to go. There will be a need for coordination and cooperation among various stakeholders, including the state, district, and private sectors. It is undoubtedly a challenging task due to its execution's sheer size and complexities. However, problems with the state's weak capacity and the availability of money will also have to be solved. The decrease education budget due to the increase in the welfare expenditure of the government due to covid-19 is understandable. However, enormous financial resources will have to be juggled to raise education spending to 6% of GDP. However, the modus operandi of this entire exercise is not yet clear. The 1986 National Policy on Education refers to "meaningful cooperation" between the union government and the states; nevertheless, in recent years, the union government has preferred "cooperative federalism" rather than "coercive federalism" for a strong Republic. For the implementation of NEP 2020 in the federal system, some Critical observations on the principles presented in the draft text reveal an entrenched link between vested interests and corporate structures, with significant implications for the current educational system. This link paves the way for the expansion of privatization and commercialization of education, whether in the school or higher education sectors. It would undoubtedly undermine the welfare approach and instead provide for a multiplication of the existing inequalities in our society. Moreover, the constitution's intended federal and secular essence will be hijacked. It would be a blow to the principles cut in our country's constitution.

REFERENCES

- Academics4nation. (2020, August 18). *A brief history of federalism in education: Busting Opposition's claim of "centralized" NEP 2020*. Academics4nation. <https://www.academic4nation.org/post/a-brief-history-of-federalism-in-education-busting-opposition-s-claim-of-centralized-nep-2020>
- Ghosh, A. K. (2020, September 17). *The paradox of "centralised federalism": An analysis of the challenges to India's federal design* (ORF Occasional Paper No. 272). Observer Research Foundation. <https://www.orfonline.org/research/the-paradox-of-centralised-federalism-272/>

- Kolhatkar, M. R. (2012). *Education and federalism in India*. Rawat Publications.
- Kolhatkar, M. R. (2015). Evolution of India's federalism and education policy. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 50(26–27), 7–8. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/24482553>
- Kumar, K. (2020, November 3). *Education, the nation and the States*. The Hindu. <https://www.thehindu.com/opinion/op-ed/education-the-nation-and-the-states/article33000180.ece>
- Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*. https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf
- Raveendranath. (2020). *New Education Policy 2020: A policy refuting federalism and diversity*. Peoples Democracy. https://peoplesdemocracy.in/2020/0802_pd/new-education-policy-2020-policy-refuting-federalism-and-diversity
- Saxena, R. (2021). The working of cooperative and collaborative federalism in India: Understanding intergovernmental relations. *Indian Journal of Public Administration*, 67(2), 153–164. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00195561211027984>
- Singh, M. P. (2022, February 5). *Federalism in India*. SAGE India.
- Tilak, J. B. G. (2017, May). India: The unfulfilled need for cooperative federalism: Union-state relations in India's higher education. In M. Carnoy, I. Froumin, O. Leshukov, & S. Marginson (Eds.), *Higher education in federal countries: A comparative study*. Sage India / NUEPA Occasional Paper.
- Verma, H., & Kumar, A. (n.d.). *New Education Policy 2020 of India: A theoretical analysis*. Forex Publication. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/348709812_New_Education_Policy_2020_of_India_A_Theoretical_Analysis
- Wadia, L. C. (2022, January 8). *Implementation of the new National Education Policy 2020: An assessment*. Observer Research Foundation. <https://www.orfonline.org/expert-speak/implementation-of-the-new-national-education-policy-2020-an-assessment/>
- The News Minute. (2021, September 2). *For true federalism, each state must have its own education policy*. <https://www.thenewsminute.com/news/true-federalism-each-state-must-have-its-own-education-policy-154743>
- Times of India. (2020, August 19). *New education policy and erosion of states' powers*. <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/chennai/new-education-policy-and-erosion-of-states-powers/articleshow/77624663.cms>
- .
- .